E.01345A-11.0224

ORIGINAL



ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSI

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Sheila Stoeller

Phone: 602-542-4143

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion

No. 2011

- 98636

Date: 9/2/2011

Complaint Description:

08Z Rate Case Items - Other

09Z Rates/Tarriffs - Other

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Lew

Dodendorf

Account Name:

Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Comm, dba Ph

Home: (928) 593-9073

Street:

601 No. Cement Plant Rd., P.O. Box 428

Work: (928) 634-2261

City:

Clarkdale

CBR: Idodendorf@srmaterials.co

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 86324

is: E-Mail

Utility Company.

Arizona Public Service Company

Division:

Electric

Contact Name:

For assignment

Contact Phone: (602) 250-2280

Nature of Complaint:

Mr Dodendorf first wrote a complaint as shown below. It was suggested that he submit the same verbiage as an opinion to be placed in the APS rate case showing that he disagrees with the current structure of the E-35 rate. Thus this is being entered into the database and will be filed in the rate case docket as an opinion.

Our cement plant, located in Clarkdale, AZ, is on the E-35 Rate Plan with APS and we would like to have APS be able to negotiate with us how the On Peak Demand is calculated. APS has said they have to follow rate plan or risk fines from the ACC. We would like to have the On Peak Demand be the actual On Peak Demand for the month being billed. The current calculation is based on the highest On Peak Demand during the period of May -October and can only be reduced by 20% even if the actual peak is less. We monitor our demand and are able to keep it below 10MW during the On Peak period. Our problem is that the current economy forces us to run our kiln operation two months and then shut down for two months, this has been the cycle for the last two years. During the kiln down periods our On Peak Demand drops to about 2MW but we are billed for 8MW. This means we are paying for 6MW of On Peak Demand that didn't happen. From January 2010 to present we have paid \$232.808 due to the current demand calculation and could use some relief from these costs. We feel there could be a solution worked out with APS that would benefit both parties.

Thank you,

Lew Dodendorf **Energy Manager**

Salt River Materials Group

Utilities' Response:

End of Complaint

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

2 2011 SEP

DOCKETED BY

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

9/2--opinion entered into database and will be docketed in APS's rate case.

End of Comments

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Date Completed: 9/2/2011

Opinion No. 2011 - 98636